Stimulation of Entorhinal Cortex Promotes Adult Neurogenesis and Facilitates Spatial Memory
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Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is an established therapeutic modality for the treatment of movement disorders and an emerging therapeutic approach for the treatment of disorders of mood and thought. For example, recently we have shown that DBS of the fornix may ameliorate cognitive decline associated with dementia. However, like other applications of DBS, the mechanisms mediating these clinical effects are unknown. As DBS modulates neurophysiological activity in targeted brain regions, DBS might influence cognitive function via activity-dependent regulation of hippocampal neurogenesis. Using stimulation parameters analogous to clinical high-frequency DBS, here we addressed this question in mice. We found that acute stimulation of the entorhinal cortex (EC) transiently promoted proliferation in the dentate gyrus (DG). Cells generated as a consequence of stimulation differentiated into neurons, survived for at least several weeks, and acquired normal dentate granule cell (DGC) morphology. Importantly, stimulation-induced promotion of neurogenesis was limited to the DG and not associated with changes in apoptotic cell death. Using immunohistochemical approaches, we found that, once sufficiently mature, these stimulation-induced neurons integrated into hippocampal circuits supporting water-maze memory. Finally, formation of water-maze memory was facilitated 6 weeks (but not 1 week) after bilateral stimulation of the EC. The delay-dependent nature of these effects matches the maturation-dependent integration of adult-generated DGCs into dentate circuits supporting water-maze memory. Furthermore, because the beneficial effects of EC stimulation were prevented by blocking neurogenesis, this suggests a causal relationship between stimulation-induced promotion of adult neurogenesis and enhanced spatial memory.

Introduction

Deep brain stimulation (DBS) uses surgically implanted electrodes to deliver electrical stimulation to precisely targeted areas in the brain. To date, >60,000 patients have been implanted with deep brain electrodes (Ponce and Lozano, 2010), and its predominant application has been in the treatment of movement disorders, most commonly Parkinson’s disease (Koller et al., 1997; Vidalhiet et al., 2005; Deuschl et al., 2006). However, studies have also begun to explore its potential application to a widening array of neurologic and psychiatric conditions, including disorders of mood and thought. For example, DBS of limbic circuits has shown promise in treating refractory depression (Mayberg et al., 2005), whereas DBS of basal ganglia circuitry has been used in the treatment of obsessive compulsive disorder (Mallet et al., 2008) and Tourette syndrome (Shahed et al., 2007). Moreover, we recently reported a phase 1 trial investigating DBS of the limbic system for the treatment of dementia/cognitive impairment (Laxton et al., 2010). In this trial, DBS of the fornix was associated with arrest or slowing of cognitive decline in certain patients, measured by two different scales of cognitive functioning. Although the mechanism(s) of action underlying these clinical effects, and DBS in general, remain(s) poorly understood (Kringelbach et al., 2007), it is clear that DBS modulates the activity of targeted brain circuits (Davis et al., 1997; Mayberg et al., 2005). For instance, in our trial, fornix stimulation strongly activated the hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus, including the entorhinal cortex (EC) (Laxton et al., 2010).

One potential mechanism through which DBS might influence cognitive function is via activity-dependent regulation of hippocampal neurogenesis. New neurons are continuously added to the adult mammalian dentate gyrus (DG), a subregion of the hippocampus that plays an essential role in memory formation (Ming and Song, 2005; Zhao et al., 2008). These adult-generated dentate granule cells (DGCs) eventually develop similar cellular phenotypes to developmentally generated DGCs (Laplagne et al., 2006, 2007), and, once sufficiently mature, are thought to contribute to the for-
vation of hippocampus-dependent memory (Shors, 2008; Deng et al., 2010). Consistent with the idea that activity regulates neuron production in the adult DG, stimulation of limbic targets in rodents e.g., the anterior thalamic nucleus (Toda et al., 2008; Encinas et al., 2011), EC/perforant path (Bruel-Jungerman et al., 2006; Chun et al., 2006; Kitamura et al., 2010), or mossy fibers (Derrick et al., 2000) promotes the proliferation and/or survival of adult-generated DGCs in vivo. Although these studies demonstrate that stimulation of hippocampal afferents can reliably increase the production of new neurons, whether these stimulation-induced increases in neurogenesis impact hippocampal function is not known. Our experiments indicate that new granule cells, born as a consequence of EC stimulation, mature normally and assume functional roles in hippocampal circuits supporting spatial memory. Furthermore, stimulation-induced promotion of hippocampal neurogenesis facilitates spatial memory formation, suggesting that enhanced neurogenesis is one mechanism via which DBS may have pro-cognitive effects.

Materials and Methods

Mice. Male offspring from a cross between C57BL/6NTacFBr and 129Svev mice (Taconic) were used in these experiments. All mice were bred in the colony at The Hospital for Sick Children and housed in groups of three to five mice per cage. They were maintained on a 12 h light/dark cycle with access to food and water ad libitum and were 8 weeks old at the start of experiments. Behavioral procedures were conducted during the light phase of the cycle, blind to the treatment condition of the mouse and according to protocols approved by the Animal Care Committee at The Hospital for Sick Children.

Stereotactic surgery. Mice were pretreated with atropine sulfate (0.1 mg/kg, i.p.), anesthetized with chloral hydrate (400 mg/kg, i.p.), and placed in a stereotactic frame. The scalp was incised and skull hole(s) drilled. Targets with coordinates relative to bregma in the anteroposterior, mediolateral, and dorsoventral planes were as follows (in mm): (1) EC bordering on the lateral and medial entorhinal areas, specifically near the junction of the ventral intermediate, medial, and caudal entorhinal fields (van Groen, 2001) [−4.0, 3.0, 5.1]; (2) DG [−2.0, 1.6, 2.0]; (3) fourth and fifth cerebellar lobules [−6.2, 1.0, 2.0] (Paxinos and Franklin, 2000).

Fluorescent anterograde tracer labeling. An anterograde tracer (fluorescent-labeled 10 kDa dextrans [fluoro-ruby D-1817; Invitrogen]; 0.82 mg/ml) was injected unilaterally into the EC via a glass micropipette (outer diameter, ~50 μm) connected to a Hamilton syringe. Injection volume (1.5 μl) and rate (0.15 μl/min) were controlled by an infusion pump (SP100i; WPI). The micropipette was left in place for an additional 10 min to ensure complete diffusion of tracer.

Brain electrical stimulation. Electrical stimulation was delivered via concentric bipolar electrodes (CBASC75; FHC), the detailed electrical properties of which have been described previously (Gisma et al., 2005, 2006). Electrode integrity was verified by impedance measurement. Stacked contacts include a 100-μm-long × 125-μm-diameter stainless steel outer pole, 100 μm separation, and 100-μm-long × 25-μm-diameter platinum/iridium inner pole. Targeting accuracy was refined to ±0.5 mm in the anteroposterior and mediolateral planes and ±0.25 mm in the dorsoventral plane during pilot experiments. Stimulation was applied with a clinical screener (model 3628; Medtronic) using frequency (130 Hz) and pulse width (90 μs, square wave) settings approximating high-frequency DBS used in clinical practice (Volkmann et al., 2006). Current (0–500 μA), duration (30–120 min), and laterality (unilateral or bilateral) of stimulation varied by experiment. Charge density, calculated using electrode geometry (McCreyer et al., 1990; Gisma et al., 2005, 2006), was kept below 30 μC/cm²/phase to minimize the risk of stimulation-induced neural injury (McCreyer et al., 1990).

Retroviral labeling of new neurons. New neurons were labeled by CAG promoter-driven green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression after infection with a replication-deficient retroviral vector (based on the Moloney murine leukemia virus) (Tashiro et al., 2006). Viral vector was prepared by transfecting Platinum-gp cells with two plasmids containing an amphotropic envelope (vesicular stomatitis virus-glycoprotein) and the transgene (pCAG–GFP), followed by collection through ultraspun centrifugation. Platinum-E cells were then infected to generate a stable virus-producing cell line, and concentrated virus solution was obtained by ultraspun centrifugation (average of 3.5 × 10⁷ IU/ml). Viral vectors were injected bilaterally into the DG via a glass micropipette (outer diameter, ~50 μm) connected to a Hamilton syringe. Injection volume (1.5 μl/side) and rate (0.12 μl/min) were controlled by an infusion pump (SP100i; WPI). Micropipettes were left in place for an additional 10 min to ensure complete diffusion of virus. BrdU, CldU, and IdU administration. The thymidine analogs 5-bromo-2′-deoxyuridine (BrdU; Sigma), 5-iodo-2′-deoxyuridine (IdU; MP Biomedicals), or 5-chloro-2′-deoxyuridine (CldU; Sigma) were dissolved in 0.1 M PBS and heated to 50–60°C at a concentration of 10 mg/ml. Intraperitoneal BrdU injection dosages and dosing intervals are described below (see Experimental procedures). IdU and CldU were given intraperitoneally at equimolar concentrations to 50 mg/kg BrdU (corresponding to 57.5 mg/kg IdU and 42.5 mg/kg CldU) three times per day (8 h apart) for 3 consecutive days as indicated below (see Experimental procedures).

Temozolomide administration. Temozolomide (TMZ) (Sigma) was dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), diluted in PBS to a concentration of 2.5 mg/ml (10% DMSO), and administered intraperitoneally at a dose of 25 mg/kg once daily for 3 consecutive days according to a previous protocol (Garthe et al., 2009). Vehicle solution (V) was the identical DMSO/PBS solution but without TMZ and was administered in volumes consistent with TMZ dosing.

Water-maze apparatus and procedures. The apparatus and behavioral procedures have been described previously (Teixeira et al., 2006). In brief, a circular water-maze tank (120 cm diameter, 50 cm deep), located in a dimly lit room, was filled to a depth of 40 cm with water (maintained at 28 ± 1°C and made opaque by adding white, nontoxic paint). A circular escape platform (10 cm diameter) was submerged 0.5 cm below the water surface, in a fixed position in one quadrant. White curtains with distinct cues painted on them surrounded the pool, each ±1 m from the pool perimeter.

Before training, mice were individually handled for 2 min each day over 7 consecutive days. Mice were trained with one of two protocols: standard training over 5 d with six training trials per d (presented in two blocks of three trials; interblock interval, ~1 h; intertrial interval, ~15 s) or undertraining over 3 d with three trials per day (intertrial interval, ~15 s). On each trial, mice were placed into the pool, facing the wall, in one of four start locations (the order of which was pseudorandomly varied throughout training). The trial was complete once the mouse found the platform or 60 s had elapsed. If the mouse failed to find the platform on a given trial, the experimenter guided the mouse onto the platform. After the completion of training, spatial memory was assessed in a series of three probe tests with an intertest interval of ~3 min. In this test, the platform was removed from the pool, and the mouse was allowed 60 s to search for it.

Behavioral data from training trials and probe tests were acquired and analyzed using an automated tracking system (Actimetrics). General training measures included latency to reach the platform and path length. Search strategies during training were analyzed using numerical parameters from swim tracking data (adapted from Garthe et al., 2009), and the respective predominant search strategy for each trial was objectively classified by a criterion-based algorithm. Trials were classified as one of the following ordered strategies (tracking criteria in parentheses): (1) “direct swim,” characterized by a maintained heading toward the platform (<15 cm path length or heading <22.5° away from platform at each 5 cm point starting at 15 cm path length); (2) “focal search,” characterized by highly localized search near the platform (>50% trial in 15 cm radius target zone, centered on the platform location); (3) “directed search,” characterized by a preference for a corridor toward the platform or platform quadrant (<50% trial outside a 50-cm-wide corridor from start point to platform and >20% in 25 cm target zone, or >40% in target quadrant); (4) “chaining,” characterized by searching near the correct radial distance of the platform to the wall (>75% trial 20–50 cm from pool center, <15% within 10 cm of wall, and <10% within 20 cm of pool center); (5) “scanning,” characterized by a preference for the central pool area in which distal cue visibility is maximal (>50% trial within 35
cm of pool center); (6) “thigmotaxis,” characterized by maintaining close proximity to the wall (>70% trial within 10 cm of wall); (7) “perseverance,” characterized by an erroneous preference for a non-target area (>60% trial in one or >75% in two adjacent non-target quadrant(s) and >750 cm path length); and (8) “random search,” characterized by no other discernable strategy (remaining unclassified trials).

Probe test performance was quantified in three ways: (1) the amount of time mice searched the target zone, (2) the average of the three other equivalent zones in other areas of the pool (zone radius was either 15 or 20 cm (for the TMZ experiment)), (2) the number of platform location crossings vs the average of the three other equivalent platform location areas in other areas of the pool, and (3) the frequency with which mice visited areas of the pool represented as a density plot (or heat map, with hot colors corresponding to more frequent visits) generated using MatLab (MathWorks).

**Immunohistochemistry.** Mice were perfused transcardially with 0.1 M PBS and 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Brains were removed, frozen, over-night in PFA, and transferred to 0.1 M PBS. Fifty micrometer horizontal (for some tracer analyses) or coronal sections were cut using a vibratome (VT1200s; Leica). TUNEL staining was performed using the DeadEnd Calorimetric TUNEL System (Promega) and crest violet counterstaining. For other analyses, the following primary antibodies were used: rabbit polyclonal anti-Fos (1:1000; Calbiotech), rat monoclonal anti-BrdU for BrdU and CldU specifically (1:500; Accurate Chemicals), mouse monoclonal anti-BrdU for IdU specifically (1:1000; BD Biosciences), mouse monoclonal anti-NeuN (1:1000; Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents), rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (1:500; Invitrogen), and Alexa Fluor-488 anti-anti-GFP mouse monoclonal anti-NeuN (1:1000; Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents). Detailed BrdU/IdU/CldU/NeuN staining procedures have been described previously (Stone et al., 2010). In brief, sections were washed and incubated for 48 h at 4°C with primary antibodies and then for 2 h at 20°C with the following fluorescent-conjugated or biotinylated secondary antibodies: Alexa Fluor-488 anti-rat (1:500; Invitrogen), Alexa Fluor-568 anti-mouse (1:500; Invitrogen), Alexa Fluor-568 anti-rabbit (1:500; Invitrogen), Rhodamine Red-X-conjugated anti-mouse (1:500; Jackson Immunoresearch), FITC-conjugated anti-rat (1:500; Jackson Immunoresearch), or Biotin-SP-conjugated anti-rabbit (1:500; Jackson Immunoresearch). Biotinylated antibody signals were visualized using Alexa Fluor-conjugated streptavidin (Invitrogen). Sections were slide mounted with Permafluor anti-fade medium (Lipshaw Immunon).

**Imaging and quantification.** All images were acquired using epifluorescent (BX61; Olympus) or confocal laser-scanning (LSM710; Carl Zeiss) microscopes and displayed as maximum-intensity projections of Z-stack images created using Image-Pro (Media Cybernetics) or ZEN (Carl Zeiss) software. A laser pinhole setting of 1 Airy unit and anti-fade medium (Lipshaw Immunon). Biotinylated antibody signals were visualized using Alexa Fluor-conjugated streptavidin (Invitrogen). Sections were slide mounted with Permafluor anti-fade medium (Lipshaw Immunon).

**Immunohistochemistry.** Mice were perfused transcardially with 0.1 M PBS and 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Brains were removed, frozen, over-night in PFA, and transferred to 0.1 M PBS. Fifty micrometer horizontal (for some tracer analyses) or coronal sections were cut using a vibratome (VT1200s; Leica). TUNEL staining was performed using the DeadEnd Calorimetric TUNEL System (Promega) and crest violet counterstaining. For other analyses, the following primary antibodies were used: rabbit polyclonal anti-Fos (1:1000; Calbiotech), rat monoclonal anti-BrdU for BrdU and CldU specifically (1:500; Accurate Chemicals), mouse monoclonal anti-BrdU for IdU specifically (1:1000; BD Biosciences), mouse monoclonal anti-NeuN (1:1000; Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents), rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (1:500; Invitrogen), and Alexa Fluor-488 anti-anti-GFP mouse monoclonal anti-NeuN (1:1000; Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents). Detailed BrdU/IdU/CldU/NeuN staining procedures have been described previously (Stone et al., 2010). In brief, sections were washed and incubated for 48 h at 4°C with primary antibodies and then for 2 h at 20°C with the following fluorescent-conjugated or biotinylated secondary antibodies: Alexa Fluor-488 anti-rat (1:500; Invitrogen), Alexa Fluor-568 anti-mouse (1:500; Invitrogen), Alexa Fluor-568 anti-rabbit (1:500; Invitrogen), Rhodamine Red-X-conjugated anti-mouse (1:500; Jackson Immunoresearch), FITC-conjugated anti-rat (1:500; Jackson Immunoresearch), or Biotin-SP-conjugated anti-rabbit (1:500; Jackson Immunoresearch). Biotinylated antibody signals were visualized using Alexa Fluor-conjugated streptavidin (Invitrogen). Sections were slide mounted with Permafluor anti-fade medium (Lipshaw Immunon).

To estimate the proportions of IdU + and CldU + cells that were colabeled with NeuN after unilateral surgery, DG regions of interest were randomly selected from five mice and analyzed using confocal microscopy. A total of 300 IdU + and 300 CldU + cells were analyzed (i.e., 30 cells per side per mouse). The proportions of BrdU + cells colabeled with NeuN after bilateral surgery and drug treatment were identically derived from five to eight mice per group.

Neuron tracings and spine counts were manually collected from 0.5 μm spaced Z-stack images acquired with a 100× objective on the epifluorescent microscope and using Neurolucida (MicroBrightField) software. In each of three mice in the 6 week group, six ipsilateral and six contralateral neurons were analyzed (for a total of 18 ipsilateral and 18 contralateral neurons from three mice). Dendritic architecture and spines were quantified for each neuron in its entirety (i.e., spines were counted along the entire dendrite length and on all dendrite branches for each neuron). Dendritic architecture was classified as aberrant if there was a dendritic process with spines pointing toward the hilus, and granule cells were considered ectopic if located more than two cell layers away from the inner border of the granule cell layer (Jesberger et al., 2007). Total dendrite length, nodes, and linear spine density (total spine divided by total dendrite length for each branch order) were determined using Neurolucida software. Axon distribution was qualitatively assessed from survey images of the CA3 region using confocal microscopy.

**Experimental procedures.** To characterize EC projections to the DG, mice received unilateral injections of fluorescent tracer into the EC (n = 5) and were perfused 7 d later (Table 1).

Stimulation-induced DG activation was assessed by placing mice (n = 6) under anesthetia for 3 h before surgery. Unilateral EC stimulation was delivered for 1 h (50 μA). Anesthesia was maintained for an additional hour before perfusion.

**Stimulation-induced effects on DG proliferation and apoptosis** were determined after unilateral EC stimulation (50 μA for 1 h). After stimulation, mice were treated with a single 200 mg/kg BrdU injection (1 n = 5), 3 (n = 4), 5 (n = 4), or 7 (n = 4) d later and perfused 24 h after injection. Additional nonstimulated mice underwent electrode insertion, with no current delivery, followed by BrdU (n = 5), 3 (n = 6), 5 (n = 4), or 7 (n = 4) d postoperatively.

To examine the effects of varied stimulation durations and currents on cell proliferation, mice received unilateral EC stimulation. Three days later, they received a single 200 mg/kg BrdU injection and were perfused 24 h later. To examine the impact of stimulation duration on proliferation, 50 μA was delivered for 30 (n = 5), 60 (n = 4), or 120 (n = 5) min. To examine the effect of current intensity on proliferation, mice received 50 (n = 4), 250 (n = 5), or 500 (n = 5) μA stimulation for 1 h. The proportion of stimulation-induced newly born cells adopting a neuronal phenotype was assessed after unilateral EC stimulation (50 μA for 1 h, n = 5). Mice received IdU injections during the period of stimulation-induced increased proliferation (postoperative days 3–5), CldU injections during a similar period of baseline proliferation (postoperative days 7–9), and were perfused −10 weeks later.

To assess stimulation-induced effects on cell survival, mice were treated twice daily with 100 mg/kg BrdU injections (8 h apart) for 3 consecutive days, 1, 10, or 30 d (n = 8 each) before unilateral EC stimulation (50 μA for 1 h), and perfused 3 weeks postoperatively.

Subventricular zone proliferation after unilateral EC stimulation and DG proliferation after unilateral cerebellar stimulation were assessed in separate experiments using single 200 mg/kg BrdU injections 3 d after stimulation (50 μA for 1 h). Both experiments included stimulated and nonstimulated groups (n = 4 each) perfused 24 h after BrdU injection.

Retrovirally mediated labeling of adult-born DGCs was performed by bilateral viral solution injections into the DG 3 d after unilateral EC
stimulation (50 μA for 1 h). Mice were perfused 1 or 6 weeks (n = 3 each) later.

The long-term survival of stimulation-induced newly born neurons and their integration rate into spatial memory networks, relative to similarly aged cells, was assessed after unilateral EC stimulation (50 μA for 1 h). Mice received IdU injections during the period of stimulation-induced increased proliferation (postoperative days 3–5) and CldU injections during a similar period of baseline proliferation (postoperative days 7–9). Water-maze standard training occurred 6 weeks (n = 17, includes 5 mice used for NeuN phenotype experiment) or 1 week (n = 15) later, followed by probe testing 4 or 9 weeks later, respectively. Mice were perfused 90 min after probe testing.

EC stimulation-induced changes in spatial memory performance were assessed in bilaterally stimulated (50 μA for 1 h, n = 25) or nonstimulated (n = 26) mice who underwent water maze training (undertraining protocol) 6.5 weeks later and subsequent probe testing 1 h after the last training trial. In a second experiment, stimulated (n = 25) and nonstimulated (n = 26) mice were trained (undertraining protocol) 1.5 weeks after surgery. In the third experiment, mice were first trained (undertraining protocol), followed 1 d later by stimulation (n = 24) or nonstimulation (n = 24) surgery and subsequent probe testing 1 week later. In the final experiment, animals received V or TMZ injections followed on the next day by bilateral stimulation (V-S, n = 24; TMZ-S, n = 24) or nonstimulation (V-NS, n = 17; TMZ-NS, n = 17). Six and a half weeks after surgery, animals were trained in the water maze (under-training protocol) and received a probe test 1 h after the last training trial.

To assess the proportion of stimulation-induced newly born cells adopting a neuronal phenotype, BrdU was given intraperitoneally at a dose of 50 mg/kg per injection three times per day (8 h apart) for 3 consecutive days to subsets of animals in each group: on postoperative days 3–5 (V-S, n = 8; TMZ-S, n = 5; V-NS, n = 8; TMZ-NS, n = 5) during the period of stimulation-induced increased proliferation or for 3 d after the completion of water-maze testing (V-S, n = 5; TMZ-S, n = 7; V-NS, n = 7; TMZ-NS, n = 5) ~7 weeks postoperatively.

Statistical analyses. Behavioral (excluding swim strategy) and cell count (excluding scatter plots) data were presented as mean ± SEM and were evaluated using ANOVAs or t tests (two-tailed). Duncan’s multiple range test was used for post hoc analyses of significant main effects or interactions when appropriate. Swim strategy frequencies were compared across trials within groups using Friedman’s test and over all trials between groups using Pearson’s χ² test for independence. Scatter plot data were analyzed using Pearson’s correlation.

Results
Entorhinal cortex projects ipsilaterally to the DG
The EC provides the main afferent input to the DG (Amaral and Lavenex, 2007). Because neurogenesis is regulated by neural activity (Zhao et al., 2008), stimulation of these afferents provides a direct method to increase adult neurogenesis. To characterize this projection, we first injected a fluorescent, anterograde tracer into the EC (Fig. 1a). Our injection site was centered on the junction of the ventral intermediate, medial, and caudal entorhinal fields (van Groen, 2001) (Fig. 1b). Viewed in horizontal sections, labeled axons extended anteromedially from the injection site. They coursed through the angular bundle region to the subiculum, past CA1–CA3, and around the hippocampal fissure via the stratum lacunosum moleculare, eventually reaching the molecular layer of the DG. Focal dilations and club-shaped terminals were evident along this path and were especially dense in the outer two-thirds of the molecular layer, a pattern that is consistent with terminal labeling of fibers from both the medial and lateral perforant paths (Amaral and Lavenex, 2007). Fibers also coursed along the alveus, consistent with direct alvear projections to the subiculum and CA1 (Amaral and Lavenex, 2007). In addition, projections also reached the presubiculum and, to a lesser extent, the parasubiculum (van Groen and Wyss, 1990). Labeling was virtually restricted to the side ipsilateral to the injection site, consistent with previous reports that the EC projection to the DG is predominantly ipsilateral (van Groen et al., 2002, 2003). Furthermore, terminal labeling was densest in the middle and posterior regions of the DG (Fig. 1c, d) consistent with known topographical organization of EC–DG connections (van Groen et al., 2002, 2003).

We next asked whether stimulation of the EC would increase activity in the DG. To do this, we lowered an electrode into the same EC site and delivered high-frequency stimulation for 1 h under chloral hydrate anesthesia. Our stimulation parameters (50 μA, 90 μs pulse width delivered at 130 Hz) were chosen to model those used for high-frequency DBS clinically (Volkmann et al., 2006). Sixty minutes after the completion of stimulation, we assessed expression of the activity-regulated gene c-Fos (Fig. 1e). Consistent with the tracing experiment, Fos expression was elevated in the DG granule cell layer, suggesting that stimulation led to sustained activation of DGCs. The increase in Fos expression was limited to the side ipsilateral to the site of stimulation (Fig. 1f, g), consistent with the finding that the EC–DG projection is predominantly unilateral. Moreover, increased Fos expression was essentially confined to the middle and posterior portions of the DG, a pattern that matches the topographical organization of

Table 1. Experimental procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment (Figure)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Cell labeling</th>
<th>Perfusion</th>
<th>Maze training</th>
<th>Maze probe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterograde tracer (1a–d)</td>
<td>Unilateral EC tracer infusion</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 d after infusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-induced Fos (1e–h)</td>
<td>Unilateral EC S</td>
<td>BrdU 1, 3, 5, or 7 d after S</td>
<td>1 h after S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-induced DG proliferation (2), apoptosis (4f–h)</td>
<td>Unilateral EC S, NS</td>
<td>BrdU 1, 3, 5, or 7 d after S</td>
<td>1 h after S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-induced DG survival (3e,c)</td>
<td>Unilateral EC S, NS</td>
<td>BrdU 1, 10, or 30 d before S</td>
<td>1 h after S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-induced SVZ proliferation (4a–c)</td>
<td>Unilateral EC S, NS</td>
<td>BrdU 3 d after S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellar S (4d,e)</td>
<td>Unilateral cerebellar S, NS</td>
<td>BrdU 3 d after S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrieval labeling of DGCs (5)</td>
<td>Unilateral EC S, NS</td>
<td>Bilateral DG retrovirus infusion 3 d after S</td>
<td>1 or 6 weeks after infusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-induced DG neurogenesis (3a–c) and DGC integration (6)</td>
<td>Unilateral EC S, NS</td>
<td>IdU 3–5 d, CldU 7–9 d after S</td>
<td>~10 weeks after XdU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S effects on spatial memory (7, 8)</td>
<td>Bilateral EC S, NS</td>
<td>BrdU 3–5 d or ~7 weeks after S</td>
<td>~7 weeks after XdU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMZ/S effects on spatial memory (9)</td>
<td>TMZ or V before bilateral EC S, NS</td>
<td>BrdU 3–5 d or ~7 weeks after S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS, Nonstimulation; S, stimulation.
terminal labeling after injection of anterograde tracer into the same site (Fig. 1g,h). An ANOVA with Side (ipsilateral, contralateral) and Region (anterior, middle, posterior) as within-subject factors supported the anatomical specificity of these effects. Most critically, there was a significant Side × Region interaction \((F_{(2,10)} = 6.13, p < 0.05)\), reflecting more pronounced changes in Fos expression in the ipsilateral middle and posterior regions of the DG (Duncan’s post hoc tests comparing ipsilateral and contralateral sides, p values < 0.05).

EC stimulation transiently increases adult neurogenesis in the DG

We next asked whether stimulation of the EC would increase proliferative activity in the DG. To do this, mice were injected with the proliferation marker BrdU, 1, 3, 5, or 7 d after stimulation of the EC. Twenty-four hours later, BrdU incorporation was quantified in the DG (Fig. 2a). EC stimulation increased the number of BrdU-labeled cells (Fig. 2b,c). An ANOVA, with Side (ipsilateral, contralateral) as a within-subjects variable and Stimulation (stimulated, nonstimulated) and Day (1, 3, 5, 7) as between-subject variables, revealed that these increases were anatomically specific and delay dependent (significant three-way Side × Stimulation × Day interaction, \(F_{(3,28)} = 3.90, p < 0.05\)): increased BrdU incorporation was limited to the ipsilateral side and to groups injected with BrdU 3–5 d after stimulation (Duncan’s post hoc tests, p values < 0.05). These results indicate that the pro-proliferative effects of EC stimulation are not immediate but rather emerge after a few days. In addition, contralateral to the electrode site, BrdU incorporation was equivalent in both stimulated and nonstimulated mice (Duncan’s post hoc tests, p values > 0.05). This indicates that stimulation does not modulate proliferation contralateral to the electrode site, and therefore the contralateral side may be used as a within-animal control for basal rates of proliferation after unilateral stimulation of the EC. Finally, to explore the topographical specificity of the stimulation-induced changes in proliferation in detail, we divided the DG into equal thirds from the anterior-to-posterior pole. In the 3 d group, we found that increased proliferation was confined to the middle and posterior ipsilateral DG (gel, granule cell layer; numbers indicate anteroposterior distance to bregma; scale bar, 50 \(\mu\)m). An ANOVA with Side (ipsilateral, contralateral) and Region (anterior, middle, posterior) as within-subject factors supported the anatomical specificity of these effects. Most significantly, there was a nonsignificant Side × Region interaction \((F_{(2,10)} = 7.73, p < 0.01)\); Duncan’s post hoc tests comparing ipsilateral and contralateral sides, p values < 0.05). This topographical organization is consistent with the tracing and immediate early gene analyses.

We next evaluated how stimulation duration and intensity impacts DG proliferation. First, to examine the impact of duration, mice were stimulated for 30, 60, or 120 min using the same stimulation parameters as before. They were then injected with BrdU 3 d later, and, as previously, BrdU incorporation was assessed 24 h later.

**Figure 1.** Entorhinal cortex projects ipsilaterally to the DG. **a**, Tracer distribution was examined 7 d after unilateral EC target injection \((n = 5)\). **b**, NeuN-stained (green) horizontal section (top 2 panels; scale bar, 200 \(\mu\)m) showing tracer (red) distribution ipsilateral (I, left panels) and contralateral (C, right panels) to EC target (dotted oval) injection (al, alveus; ca1–3, cornu ammonis regions 1–3; hf, hippocampal fissure; lec, lateral EC; mec, medial EC; ml, molecular layer; pa, parasubiculum; pr, presubiculum; s, subiculum; slm, stratum lacunosum moleculare). Squares in top panels indicate perforant path regions magnified in corresponding bottom panels (scale bar, 5 \(\mu\)m). **c**, Sagittal schematic indicating anterior (Ant), middle, and posterior (Post) thirds of DG. **d**, Representative DG coronal sections ipsilateral (I, left panels) and contralateral (C, right panels) to tracer injection. Molecular layer afferents were labeled in the middle and posterior (bottom 2 panels) regions of the ipsilateral DG (gel, granule cell layer; numbers indicate anteroposterior distance to bregma; scale bar, 50 \(\mu\)m). **e**, Fos expression was examined 1 h after unilateral stimulation \((n = 6)\). **f**, DAPI (blue)-stained coronal section showing DG Fos (red) expression ipsilateral (I, left panel) and contralateral (C, right panel) to stimulation (scale bar, 50 \(\mu\)m). **g**, Fos expression increased in middle (Mid) and posterior (Post) regions of the ipsilateral (I), but not contralateral (C), DG. **h**, Representative coronal sections ipsilateral (I, left panels) and contralateral (C, right panels) to stimulation from anterior (top panels), middle (middle panels), and posterior (bottom panels) DG regions (as per schematic in c; scale bar, 20 \(\mu\)m). *\(p < 0.05\).
Increasing stimulation duration increased proliferation ipsilateral to the electrode site (Fig. 2c; Side × Duration ANOVA, significant interaction, \( F_{2,11} = 5.39, p < 0.05 \)): there were more BrdU-labeled cells after 60 min (compared with 30 min) stimulation (Duncan’s post hoc test, comparing 30 and 60 min on ipsilateral side; \( p < 0.01 \)) but no additional benefit of further increasing stimulation duration to 120 min (Duncan’s post hoc test, comparing 60 and 120 min on ipsilateral side; \( p = 0.42 \)). Second, to examine the impact of current intensity on proliferation, mice received 50, 250, or 500 \( \mu \)A of stimulation for 60 min. They were then injected with BrdU 3 d later, and BrdU incorporation was assessed 24 h later. Although stimulation-induced changes in granule cell layer/subgranular zone proliferation were limited to the ipsilateral side, there were no effects of stimulation intensity (Fig. 2f; Side × Intensity ANOVA, significant main effect of Side only, \( F_{1,11} = 45.76, p < 0.01 \)), suggesting that there might be a ceiling on these stimulation-induced changes in proliferation. Indeed, at 250 and 500 \( \mu \)A, BrdU-labeled cells were additionally found in the hilus and molecular layer, suggesting that high-intensity stimulation may additionally promote proliferation of non-neuronal cell types (Madsen et al., 2005) and/or aberrant neurogenesis analogous to that seen after seizures (Parent et al., 1997, 2006).

Under basal conditions, \( \sim 70–90\% \) of cells generated in the adult subgranular zone differentiate into neurons (Brandt et al., 2003). To evaluate whether a similar proportion of cells differentiate into neurons after stimulation of the EC, mice were treated with equimolar doses of IdU and then CldU, two chemically related thymidine analogs. IdU and CldU are recognized by different antibodies and therefore may be used to label separate cohorts of cells in the same animal (Vega and Peterson, 2005; Stone et al., 2010; Tronel et al., 2010). In this experiment, IdU injections occurred 3–5 d after stimulation, a poststimulation time point corresponding to the peak in stimulation-induced changes in proliferation, and CldU injections occurred 7–9 d after stimulation, a poststimulation time point when proliferation rates have returned to baseline (Fig. 3a). Ten weeks later, there were no differences in the proportion of IdU- and CldU-labeled cells expressing the neuronal marker NeuN, either ipsilateral or contralateral to the electrode site (Fig. 3b; Side × Thymidine Analog ANOVA, main effects and interaction \( p \) values >0.05), indicating that stimulation did not alter the fate of newly generated cells. Importantly, the number of IdU-labeled cells was increased on the side ipsilateral to the stimulation site (298.40 \( \pm \) 14.94) compared with IdU-labeled cells in the contralateral DG (186.72 \( \pm \) 11.74) and CldU-labeled cells in the ipsilateral (192.04 \( \pm \) 12.29) and contralateral (186.53 \( \pm \) 11.55) DG. The magnitude of the relative increase after this extended survival period (\( \sim \)10 weeks) is comparable with that observed after a short survival period (1 d; Fig. 2c), suggesting that cells produced as a consequence of stimulation survive for at least several weeks.

We found that stimulation of the EC transiently increased the future production of neurons in the DG. To evaluate whether the same stimulation promotes survival of existing, adult-generated cells, we next treated mice with the proliferation marker BrdU and then stimulated 1, 10, or 30 d later (Fig. 3d). The numbers of BrdU-labeled cells were increased ipsilaterally (vs contralaterally) in the 10 d (planned comparison, paired \( t \) test, \( t_{(8)} = 9.31, p < 0.01 \)) group but not in the 1 d (planned comparison, paired \( t \) test, \( t_{(8)} = 0.43, p = 0.68 \)) or 30 d (planned comparison, paired \( t \) test, \( t_{(8)} = 0.38, p = 0.72 \)) groups (Fig. 3e; one-way ANOVA, significant effect of Group, \( F_{(2,21)} = 5.46, p < 0.05 \); Duncan’s post hoc tests, \( p \) values <0.05). Consistent with previous reports (Bruel-Jungerman et al., 2006; Kitamura et al., 2010), these data indicate that EC stimulation has a modest pro-survival effect on existing adult-generated cells in the hippocampus. That these effects were specific to the 10 d group is consistent with the idea that 1-to 3-week-old neurons are especially sensitive to factors that either promote (e.g., environmental enrichment) or reduce (e.g., stress) survival (Zhao et al., 2008).
Specificity of stimulation-induced increase in proliferation
In the above experiments, we identified a set of conditions whereby EC stimulation produces an approximate 1.5-fold to 2-fold increase in adult neurogenesis. We next explored the specificity of the effects of stimulation.

First, the subgranular zone of the hippocampus is one of two major neurogenic regions in the adult brain. Does stimulation of the EC additionally regulate neurogenesis in the subventricular zone? To address this, additional groups of mice were treated with BrdU 3 d after stimulation of the EC (Fig. 4a). Twenty-four h later, BrdU-labeled cells were evident in the subependymal region of the subventricular zone (Fig. 4b). There were similar numbers of BrdU-labeled cells in both the stimulation and non-stimulation groups, and no additional differences between the ipsilateral and contralateral sides (Fig. 4c; Side × Stimulation ANOVA, main effects and interaction p values >0.05), suggesting that EC stimulation specifically regulates hippocampal and not olfactory adult neurogenesis. Similarly, the pro-neurogenic effects after stimulation of another limbic target, the anterior thalamic nucleus, are limited to the DG (Encinas et al., 2011). This contrasts with the biregional neurogenic effect seen after low-intensity stimulation of non-afferent sites does not regulate adult neurogenesis in the DG.

Second, high-intensity, generalized electrical activation of the brain (e.g., electroconvulsive shock, seizures) also increases adult neurogenesis in the DG (Madsen et al., 2000; Parent et al., 2002; Ma et al., 2009). Using our lower-current intensity, we next evaluated whether localized stimulation elsewhere in the brain might increase adult neurogenesis in the DG. To do this, we targeted the fourth and fifth cerebellar lobules, regions that do not send direct afferent input to the DG (Fig. 4d). BrdU incorporation 3 d after stimulation was unaltered by cerebellum stimulation (compared with a nonstimulated control group) (Fig. 4e; Side × Stimulation ANOVA, main effects and interaction p values >0.05), suggesting that low-intensity stimulation of non-afferent sites does not regulate adult neurogenesis in the DG.

Third, it is possible that stimulation of the EC might induce cell death in the DG, leading to a compensatory increase in adult neurogenesis (Gould and Tanapat, 1997). Therefore, to evaluate the possibility that changes in neurogenesis are secondary to altered levels of DGC death, we additionally stained tissue for TUNEL, a marker of programmed cell death, in stimulated or control mice 2, 4, 6, or 8 d after stimulation. Although there were regional differences in TUNEL counts, with levels highest in the granule cell layer and lowest in the hilus, no such differences were observed between the ipsilateral and contralateral sides, stimulated and nonstimulated groups, or days after stimulation (Fig. 4f–l; Side × Region × Stimulation × Delay ANOVA, significant main effect of Region only, F(2,28) = 193.94, p < 0.01). Together, these data suggest that our low-intensity EC stimulation does not induce apoptotic cell death in the DG and therefore exclude the possibility that changes in levels of adult neurogenesis are secondary to stimulation-induced cell death.

After stimulation, new neurons mature normally
We found that EC stimulation increases adult neurogenesis in the DG. Because these newly generated neurons survive several weeks, this raises the possibility that they become functionally integrated into hippocampal circuits. To evaluate this possibility, we used a retroviral vector strategy to label dividing neural progenitors and their progeny with GFP (Tashiro et al., 2006). Because GFP is expressed throughout the cell body and processes, we used a retroviral vector strategy to label dividing neural progenitors and their progeny with GFP (Tashiro et al., 2006). Because GFP is expressed throughout the cell body and processes, using this strategy, it is possible to track morphological changes in newborn cells at different stages after stimulation. Accordingly, mice received unilateral stimulation and then, 3 d later, bilateral infusion of retrovirus encoding GFP into the DG. This 3 d poststimulation time point corresponds to the peak of stimulation-induced changes in proliferation. Mice were killed either 1 or 6 weeks later.

In the 1 week group (Fig. 5a), there were approximately twice as many GFP-labeled cells per section on the ipsilateral (27.09 ± 5.20) versus contralateral (13.95 ± 2.38) side to the stimulation site (Fig. 5b; paired t test, t(2) = 4.64, p < 0.05), reflecting stimulation-induced changes in proliferation. Both the magnitude and the anatomical specificity of this increase replicate our...
BrdU incorporation data. Most of GFP-labeled cells were located in the subgranular zone or innermost part of the granule cell layer and had short, aspiny, processes running either parallel to or, occasionally, perpendicular to the granule cell layer. In rare instances, these perpendicular processes extended through the granule cell layer (but never into the molecular layer). No axon fibers were visible in CA3. Consistent with previous reports (Zhao et al., 2006; Jessberger et al., 2007), the morphology of these GFP-labeled cells resembled immature neurons. A small minority of cells (~2%) were ectopically located in the hilus or deep within the granule cell layer. Importantly, the frequency of these ectopically located cells was similar ipsilateral (1.77%) and contralateral (1.74%) to the electrode site (paired t test, t(23) = 0.05, p = 0.96), indicating that stimulation did not alter the normal migration and early stages of integration of adult-generated neurons in the DG.

Six weeks after infection (Fig. 5c), there were many GFP-labeled cells resembling mature neurons. As before, there were approximately twice as many GFP-labeled cells on the ipsilateral (4.47 ± 0.19) versus contralateral (2.11 ± 0.17) side to the stimulation site (Fig. 5d; paired t test, t(23) = 16.28, p < 0.01), suggesting that cells, produced as a consequence of stimulation, survive for at least several weeks. The vast majority of GFP-labeled cells were located in the subgranular zone or innermost part of the granule cell layer. Typically, dendritic processes extended through the granule cell layer with minimal or no branching but arborized extensively in the molecular layer. Furthermore, there were abundant spines on these apical dendritic processes, especially within the molecular layer, suggesting that these newly generated neurons had become synaptically integrated into DG circuitry. GFP-labeled axonal fibers were also present in the CA3 region, consistent with previous reports suggesting that, by 6 weeks of age, adult-generated neurons have established efferent connections with CA3 (Zhao et al., 2006; Toni et al., 2008). Most importantly, apical dendrite branch morphology was similar on both the ipsilateral and contralateral sides to the electrode site, with equivalent total dendrite length (Fig. 5e; paired t test, t(23) = 0.50, p = 0.67) and nodes per neuron (Fig. 5f; paired t test, t(23) = 0.09, p = 0.94). Furthermore, spine density on apical dendritic branches was similar both ipsilateral and contralateral to the electrode site (Fig. 5g; Side × Branch Order ANOVA, significant main effect of Branch Order only, F(2, 22) = 34.24, p < 0.01). Together, these analyses suggest that stimulation promotes neurogenesis and that neurons produced as a consequence of stimulation acquire normal morphology and likely establish normal afferent and efferent connections. Similar to the 1 week postinfection group, a small minority of GFP-labeled cells were ectopically located. The low incidence is consistent with previous reports (Parent et al., 1997; Jessberger et al., 2007), and, importantly, the frequency was similar on both the ipsilateral (1.79%) and contralateral (2.08%) sides (paired t test, t(23) = 0.19, p = 0.87), suggesting that stimulation does not promote the aberrant integration of adult-generated neurons into the DG.

New neurons functionally integrate into hippocampal memory circuits

The morphological analyses indicate that new neurons, generated as a consequence of stimulation, integrate into hippocampal circuitry. Using a water-maze task, we next asked whether, once integrated, these adult-generated neurons play a functional role in hippocampus-mediated spatial learning. To address this, mice were treated with equimolar doses of IdU and then CldU at different times after unilateral stimulation of the EC. As before, IdU injections occurred 3–5 d after stimulation (a time point corresponding to the peak in stimulation-induced changes in proliferation), and CldU injections occurred 7–9 d after stimulation (a time point when proliferation rates have returned to baseline). Six weeks later, mice were trained in the water maze, and then spatial memory was assessed in a probe test 4 weeks later (Fig. 6a). Both acquisition and expression of water-maze memories engage the DG, and engagement of dentate granule neurons after memory recall may be assessed by quantifying expression of activity-
regulated genes such as c-Fos (Kee et al., 2007a). Therefore, should stimulation increase the pool of functional new neurons, then the proportion of adult-generated neurons included in the population of activated (Fos-labeled) neurons should increase. Such an increase should be specific to neurons generated 3–5 d after stimulation (i.e., IdU-labeled cells) and, because stimulation is unilateral, occur only on the side ipsilateral to the electrode site.

In the probe test, mice searched selectively, spending more time in the region of the pool that formerly contained the platform (Fig. 6b; paired t test, \(t_{(16)} = 5.53, p < 0.01\)). Consistent with our previous experiments, stimulation of the EC led to an increase in adult neurogenesis. As expected, this increase was specific to the ipsilateral side and corresponded to new cells generated 3–5 d (i.e., IdU-labeled cells) and, because stimulation is unilateral, occur only on the side ipsilateral to the electrode site.

The integration of adult-generated neurons into hippocampal memory circuits is maturation dependent, with new neurons not contributing in maximal numbers until they are 5 weeks of age (Kee et al., 2007b; Stone et al., 2010). Therefore, stimulation-induced increases in the contribution of adult-generated neurons should not occur if there is only a brief delay between stimulation and training. Accordingly, we repeated the above experiment but trained mice 1 week (rather than 6 weeks) after IdU/CldU injections (Fig. 6h). In the probe test 9 weeks later, mice searched selectively in the region of the pool formerly containing the plat-
form (Fig. 6; paired t test, $t_{(14)} = 8.16, p < 0.01$). As before, stimulation of the EC led to an increase in adult neurogenesis. This increase was specific to the ipsilateral side and corresponded to new neurons generated 3–5 d (i.e., IdU-labeled) after stimulation (Fig. 6j; Side × Thymidine Analog ANOVA, significant interaction, $F_{(1,14)} = 33.48, p < 0.01$; Duncan’s post hoc tests, $p < 0.01$). After the probe tests, Fos expression was equivalent both ipsilateral and contralateral to the stimulation site, suggesting equivalent levels of activation (Fig. 6k; paired t test, $t_{(14)} = 0.14, p = 0.89$). However, this Fos-labeled population of dentate granule neurons contained few IdU- or CldU-labeled cells (Fig. 6l; Side × Thymidine Analog ANOVA, no significant interaction, $F_{(1,14)} = 0.02, p = 0.89$). Furthermore, there was no relationship between stimulation-induced increases in neurogenesis and the proportion of adult-generated neurons included in this population of activated (Fos-labeled) neurons (Fig. 6m; $r = 0.04, p = 0.89$). These data are therefore consistent with the idea that 1-week-old adult-generated neurons are insufficiently mature to be integrated into hippocampal memory circuits (Kee et al., 2007b; Stone et al., 2010).

Together, these data suggest that stimulation increases the pool of functional newborn neurons. An ANOVA, conducted on data from both experiments, revealed a significant three-way Delay × Thymidine Analog × Side interaction ($F_{(1,30)} = 4.79, p < 0.05$). This supports the conclusion that stimulation-induced increases in contribution of adult-generated neurons to water-maze memory are maturation dependent (they are observed only in the 6 week group), as well as anatomically selective (only occurring on the side ipsilateral to the electrode site) and temporally specific (corresponding to neurons generated 3–5 d after stimulation). Furthermore, the temporal relationships between stimulation, labeling (IdU and CldU injections), and testing were equivalent in both experiments. Therefore, because IdU- and CldU-labeled cells were equivalently aged at the time of testing, these data indicate that stimulation does not simply lower the threshold for activation (and/or Fos induction) of DGCs.

**EC stimulation facilitates spatial memory formation in a delay-dependent manner**

We next asked whether stimulation-induced increases in neurogenesis would facilitate water-maze learning in adult mice. To maximize production of new neurons, mice received bilateral (rather
than unilateral) stimulation of the EC and were trained in the water maze 6.5 weeks later. Control mice were treated identically except that no current was delivered (Fig. 7a). This 6.5 week stimulation-training delay ensures that additional neurons, produced as a consequence of stimulation, are sufficiently mature (~6 weeks old) to contribute to spatial learning [previous experiment (Kee et al., 2007b; Stone et al., 2010)]. During training, latency to find the platform declined similarly in both groups (Fig. 7b; Day × Stimulation ANOVA, significant main effect of Day only, $F_{(2,49)} = 51.23, p < 0.01$). Immediately after the completion of training, spatial memory was assessed in a probe test. In this test, both stimulated and nonstimulated mice searched selectively (Fig. 7c). However, mice in the stimulation group spent significantly more time in the target zone (Fig. 7d; unpaired t test, $t_{(49)} = 2.02, p < 0.05$) and crossed the former platform location more frequently (Fig. 7e; unpaired t test, $t_{(49)} = 3.83, p < 0.01$) compared with nonstimulated mice, indicating that stimulation of the EC facilitated spatial learning.

The beneficial effect of EC stimulation on spatial learning was detected in the probe test but not in the training latency data. Because the adoption of either localized/spatially precise (e.g., focal searching) or some nonlocalized/spatially imprecise (e.g., chaining) search strategies may contribute to reduced escape latencies across training (Gallagher et al., 1993; Lipp and Wolfer, 1998; Wolfer et al., 1998; Garthe et al., 2009), search paths were objectively classified into eight mutually exclusive categories (Fig. 7f). At the beginning of training, thigmotaxis and random search strategies predominated in both groups, accounting for >75% of paths (Fig. 7g). In contrast, by the end of training, these spatially imprecise strategies were progressively replaced by more localized/spatially precise strategies in both stimulated (Friedman’s test, $X^2_{(5)} = 73.89, p < 0.01$) and control (Friedman’s test, $X^2_{(5)} = 66.66, p < 0.01$) groups. Most strikingly, the overall frequencies of search strategies differed between groups ($\chi^2$ test of independence, $\chi^2_{(7)} = 6.39, p < 0.05$). This difference was most apparent by an elevated prevalence of direct swimmers and focal searches in stimulated relative to nonstimulated mice (Fig. 7h). Therefore, consistent with the probe test, these analyses provide convergent evidence that stimulation of the EC facilitated spatial learning by promoting the adoption of localized/spatially precise search strategies.

Whether this stimulation-induced facilitation of spatial learning is mediated by a stimulation-induced enhancement of adult neurogenesis or some other mechanism is not clear. Consistent with previous studies (Kee et al., 2007b; Stone et al., 2010), we showed that 6-week-old, but not 1-week-old, adult-generated neurons are incorporated into DG circuits engaged during spatial learning (Fig. 6). Therefore, the beneficial effects of EC stimula-
tion would be expected to have a delayed onset if they are mediated by a neurogenic mechanism. To test this, we next trained mice 1.5 weeks, rather than 6.5 weeks, after stimulation of the EC (Fig. 8a). As before, both stimulated and control mice required progressively less time to find the platform during training, and there were no differences between groups (Fig. 8b; Day × Stimulation ANOVA, significant main effect of Day only, $F_{(2,46)} = 64.14, p < 0.01$). Furthermore, both stimulated and control mice progressed from predominantly nonlocalized/spatially imprecise strategies (e.g., thigmotaxis and random search) at the start of training to predominantly localized/spatially precise strategies (e.g., direct swim, focal search, or directed search) at the completion of training (Fig. 8c; Friedman’s test for Stimulated Group, $\chi^2(8) = 76.34, p < 0.01$; Friedman’s test for Nonstimulated Group, $\chi^2(8) = 94.72, p < 0.01$). In contrast to the mice trained 6.5 weeks after stimulation, our detailed analyses of swim paths during training revealed no differences in the prevalence of various search strategies between groups (Fig. 8d; $\chi^2$ test of independence, $\chi^2(7) = 3.30, p = 0.86$). Consistent with this, in the probe test 1 d after the completion of training, both stimulated and control mice searched selectively (Fig. 8e), and the degree of selectivity did not differ between groups: both stimulated and nonstimulated mice spent equivalent time searching the target zone (Fig. 8f; unpaired $t$ test, $t_{(49)} = 1.11, p = 0.27$) and crossed the former platform location with similar frequency (Fig. 8g; unpaired $t$ test, $t_{(49)} = 0.60, p = 0.55$). Therefore, spatial learning is not facilitated when training takes place 1.5 weeks after stimulation, suggesting that the beneficial effects of stimulation are delayed in their onset.

These data strengthen the causal link between stimulation-induced increases in adult neurogenesis and facilitated spatial learning. Six-week-old (but not 1-week-old) adult-generated neurons are engaged during spatial learning. Stimulation increases neurogenesis, and the facilitatory effects of stimulation-induced changes in neurogenesis do not emerge until 6.5 weeks after stimulation. As an additional test of this idea, an additional group of mice received stimulation after (rather than before) training in the water maze (Fig. 8h). It is unlikely that new neurons would be retroactively integrated into dentate circuits supporting spatial memory. Therefore, it is unlikely that new neurons, generated as a consequence of stimulation, would contribute to spatial learning in this experiment. As expected, during training, both groups of mice required progressively less time to locate the platform (Fig. 8i; Day × Stimulation ANOVA, significant main effect of Day only, $F_{(2,46)} = 69.96, p < 0.01$) and progressed from predominantly nonlocalized/spatially imprecise to localized/spatially precise strategies (Fig. 8j; Friedman’s test for Stimulated Group, $\chi^2(8) = 40.43, p < 0.01$; Friedman’s test for Nonstimulated
Group, $X^2(8) = 46.02, p < 0.01$ with equivalent tendency (Fig. 8k; $X^2(1) = 1.57, p = 0.98$). Similarly, in the subsequent probe test, both groups searched selectively in the region of the pool that formerly contained the platform (Fig. 8l). Because the degree of selectivity did not differ between groups (Fig. 8m,n; unpaired $t$ test for Zones, $t_{(40)} = 0.32, p = 0.75$; unpaired $t$ test for Platform Crossings, $t_{(40)} = 0.19, p = 0.85$), these results exclude the possibility that stimulation of the EC nonspecifically facilitates memory retrieval. Moreover, they also indicate that stimulation does not disrupt the expression of a previously acquired memory.

Facilitation of spatial memory is prevented by blocking adult neurogenesis

To more directly evaluate whether EC stimulation facilitates the formation of spatial memory via a neurogenic mechanism, we next examined the impact of inhibiting neurogenesis using the DNA-alkylating agent TMZ (Garthe et al., 2009). In this experiment, stimulated or nonstimulated control mice were pretreated with V or TMZ. Six and a half weeks later, they were trained in the water maze (three trials per d for 3 d), and then spatial memory was assessed in a probe test 1 h after the completion of training. Subsets of these mice received BrdU injections either during an early poststimulation period (V-S, $n = 8$; V-NS, $n = 8$), TMZ-S, $n = 5$; TMZ-NS, $n = 5$) or after the completion of probe testing (V-S, $n = 5$; V-NS, $n = 7$). The stimulation-induced increase in proliferation was blocked by TMZ treatment.

During training, latency to find the platform declined similarly in all groups (Fig. 9d; Day $\times$ Group ANOVA, significant main effect of Day only, $F_{(3,150)} = 27.54, p < 0.001$). However, in the probe test after training, the V-treated, stimulated group outperformed all other groups, spending more time in the target zone (Fig. 9g; ANOVA on target zone data, Group effect, $F_{(3,78)} = 4.69, p < 0.01$, Duncan’s post hoc tests, $p < 0.05$). These data there-
fore replicate our previous finding that EC stimulation facilitates spatial memory formation (V-S group > V-NS group) and, most importantly, show that this enhancement is prevented when the stimulation-induced enhancement of neurogenesis is blocked. Both TMZ and stimulation only transiently altered neurogenesis levels because BrdU incorporation was equivalent across groups in mice treated with BrdU after behavioral testing (Fig. 9h; ANOVA Group effect, $F_{(3,20)} = 0.13, p = 0.94$), and there were no group differences in the proportion of BrdU-labeled cells expressing the neuronal marker NeuN (Fig. 9i; ANOVA Group effect, $F_{(3,20)} = 1.06, p = 0.39$).

**Discussion**

Although DBS targeting hippocampal afferents may slow or arrest cognitive decline (Laxton et al., 2010), the underlying mechanisms of action are poorly understood. Using a mouse model, we provide four lines of evidence that such pro-cognitive effects are mediated, at least in part, by activity-dependent promotion of hippocampal neurogenesis. First, using parameters analogous to clinical high-frequency DBS, we show that targeted stimulation of EC in adult mice produces an anatomically and temporally specific increase in DGC production. Relative to cells produced under basal conditions, stimulation-induced cells exhibit comparable rates of neuronal differentiation and long-term survival. Second, new neurons produced as a consequence of EC stimulation assume functional roles in hippocampal circuits. Relative to cells produced under basal conditions, they show comparable localization in the DG, mature normally, and integrate into hippocampal networks engaged by spatial memory in a maturation-dependent manner. Third, acute, bilateral stimulation of the EC facilitated spatial memory formation. Facilitation only emerged several weeks after stimulation and not if stimulation occurred ~1 week before or after training. This time course tracks exactly the maturation-dependent time course of integration of adult-generated neurons into spatial memory circuits. Fourth, facilitation of spatial memory formation was prevented by blocking neurogenesis, suggesting that the pro-cognitive effects of EC stimulation are most likely mediated by a neurogenic mechanism.

We found that stimulation for 1 h was sufficient to promote proliferation in the DG. The pro-proliferative effects of EC stimulation had distinct temporal and anatomical profiles. Similar to electroconvulsive shock (Ma et al., 2009), induced seizures (Parent et al., 1997; Jiang et al., 2003), and targeted brain stimulation (Bruel-Jungerman et al., 2006; Toda et al., 2008), increased proliferation occurred in a delayed manner and peaked 3–5 d after stimulation before returning to baseline. Furthermore, after unilateral stimulation, increased proliferation was limited to the side ipsilateral to the stimulation site and only when stimulation preceded training by 6.5 weeks. This finding is consistent with previous studies showing that integration of newborn DGCs into hippocampal circuits supporting water-maze memory is regulated by cell age, with newborn DGCs not maximally contributing until they are 5 weeks or older (Kee et al., 2007b; Stone et al., 2010). In our experiments, EC stimulation nearly doubled levels of neurogenesis, and there was a corresponding increase in the numbers of adult-generated neurons in the pool of granule cells activated by the expression of a water-maze memory. This suggests that stimulation-induced neurons become functionally integrated into hippocampal networks supporting spatial memory. Importantly, this increase was specific to neurons generated 3–5 d after stimulation and limited to the side ipsilateral to the stimulation site and only when stimulation preceded training by 6.5 weeks. This finding is consistent with previous studies showing that integration of newborn DGCs into hippocampal circuits supporting water-maze memory is regulated by cell age, with newborn DGCs not maximally contributing until they are 5 weeks or older.

**Most strikingly,** bilateral stimulation of the EC facilitated the formation of a hippocampus-dependent water-maze memory. In the probe test after training, stimulated mice searched more selectively compared with nonstimulated controls. Detailed analyses of swim paths during training revealed that stimulated mice were more likely to use localized/spatially precise search strategies (e.g., direct swim, focal search) and that the increased frequency of these more effective strategies very likely accounts for the improved spatial memory formation. In these types of experiments, a key question is whether improved memory formation is causally related to changes in neurogenesis. For example, although environmental enrichment both promotes adult neuro-
genesis and facilitates memory formation, its memory effects are independent of those on neurogenesis (Meshi et al., 2006). In our experiments, stimulation of the EC may produce a range of other effects that, in principle, could contribute to improved spatial memory formation. These might include effects on developmentally generated hippocampal neurons (e.g., dendritic remodeling) and/or on the differentiation and maturation of existing adult-generated granule cells. However, memory facilitation was fully blocked by pharmacological blockade of the stimulation-induced increase in neurogenesis. Because TMZ would predominantly affect proliferation (and not postmitotic cells), this experiment reduces the likelihood that these non-neurogenic mechanisms contribute to the pro-cognitive effects of EC stimulation. One related concern is that TMZ treatment may impact general health. However, TMZ treatment did not impair spatial memory formation in nonstimulated mice. Moreover, even more prolonged TMZ treatment does not affect weight, induce neuroinflammation, or alter general behavioral or hematological profiles in mice (Garthe et al., 2009), and so it seems unlikely that these potential off-target effects confound our results.
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