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Dorsal hippocampal CREB is both necessary and sufficient for spatial memory

Melanie J. Sekeres, Rachael L. Neve, Paul W. Frankland, and Sheena A. Josselyn

Although the transcription factor CREB has been widely implicated in memory, whether it is sufficient to produce spatial memory under conditions that do not normally support memory formation in mammals is unknown. We found that locally and acutely increasing CREB levels in the dorsal hippocampus using viral vectors is sufficient to induce robust spatial memory in two conditions that do not normally support spatial memory, weakly trained wild-type (WT) mice and strongly trained mutant mice with a brain-wide disruption of CREB function. Together with previous results, these findings indicate that CREB is both necessary and sufficient for spatial memory formation, and highlight its pivotal role in the hippocampal molecular machinery underlying the formation of spatial memory.

[Supplemental material is available online at http://www.learnmem.org]
CREB is sufficient for memory formation

Figure 1. Acutely increasing CREB in the dorsal hippocampus is sufficient to induce spatial memory in undertrained WT mice. (A) Robust, localized transgene expression (GFP, green) following vector microinjection into the CA1 region of the dorsal hippocampus. (B) Mice with Control (n = 13) or mCREB (n = 8) vector show no spatial memory (mice spent similar amounts of time searching in the target zone of the pool, which previously contained the platform, as in the other equally sized zones) following weak training. However, mice with CREB vector (n = 10) showed robust spatial memory after weak training, spending a greater amount of time in the target zone than in the other zones (significant Vector × Zone [F(2,28) = 7.76, P < 0.001], Vector [F(2,28) = 9.11, P < 0.001], Zone [F(2,28) = 17.92, P < 0.001] effects). Only mice with CREB vector formed a spatial memory (searched selectively in target zone, post-hoc analysis, P < 0.001). Time spent in target zone was higher in mice with CREB vector than in both mice with Control or mCREB vector, which did not differ (F(2,28) = 9.78, P < 0.001). (C) Density plots for grouped data showing the probe test search patterns of mice with CREB, mCREB, or Control vector. Platform position during training was the lower right quadrant. Color scale represents the number of visits per animal per 5 × 5 cm² area of the watermaze pool. (D) CREB-enhanced performance in the watermaze is due to spatial memory. Mice with CREB vector (n = 7) were trained with the weak protocol, then, given two probe tests in which the extramaze cues were (1) present (with cues) or (2) obscured by a curtain (no cues). Mice spent more time in target, than in other zones of pool when distal cues were present (t(6,0) = 9.99, P < 0.05), but spent an equal percentage of time when cues were obscured (t(6,0) = 0.03, P > 0.05), indicating that mice with CREB vector relied on distal cues to show enhanced performance in the watermaze. (E) Increasing CREB prior to testing (rather than training) does not affect watermaze performance. Mice microinjected with CREB (n = 7) or Control (n = 6) vector after (rather than before) weak training did not search selectively in the target zone (no significant Vector × Zone interaction [F(1,11) = 0.0007, P > 0.05]).

mice with CREB vector searched selectively in the target zone when the distal cues were presented, but no longer showed a preference for the target zone (instead searching the pool randomly) when the distal cues surrounding the pool were obscured (Fig. 1D). The finding that mice with CREB vector did not search selectively in the target zone when the distal cues were obscured indicates that the enhancement in watermaze performance produced by increasing CREB in the dorsal hippocampus critically depended on spatial memory (an accurate, allocentric representation of distal cues surrounding the watermaze). Finally, we examined the effect of increasing CREB in the dorsal hippocampus on probe test performance by microinjecting CREB vector after (rather than before) weak training. Increasing CREB function prior to testing did not enhance watermaze performance as mice randomly searched the pool (Fig. 1E). Besides inducing spatial memory in undertrained mice, CREB vector also further enhanced spatial memory formation in mice trained with a strong watermaze protocol (six trials a day, for 3 d; Supplemental Fig. 2A–D). To examine whether increasing CREB in the dorsal hippocampus alters expression of a previously acquired spatial memory, we microinjected CREB or Control vectors following, rather than before, strong training. In this way, training was conducted with normal (endogenous) CREB levels, and memory expression was assessed with high CREB levels. As expected, before vector microinjection, both groups showed equally robust spatial memory following the strong watermaze training. Increasing CREB levels in the dorsal hippocampus did not affect spatial memory expression: Both mice microinjected with CREB and Control vectors after training showed similarly strong spatial memory (Supplemental Fig. 2E). Therefore, increasing CREB in the dorsal hippocampus is sufficient to produce spatial memory under weak training conditions that do not normally support spatial memory formation.

In our second no-memory condition, we used CREB-deficient (CREB<sup>−/−</sup>) mice that have reduced levels of CREB protein (>90%) and CREB-DNA binding activity throughout the brain (Pandey et al. 2000; Walters and Blendy 2001). Importantly, these mice show impaired spatial memory formation (Bourchuladze et al. 1994; Kogan et al. 1997; Hedba-Bauer et al. 2005), although the deficit is sensitive to genetic background and training condition (Kogan et al. 1997; Gass et al. 1998; Graves et al. 2002). In agreement with previous reports (Walters and Blendy 2001; Walters et al. 2003), we found that CREB-deficient mice showed low levels of endogenous CREB protein in the dorsal hippocampus compared with WT littermate mice. However, microinjecting CREB vector into the dorsal hippocampus of CREB-deficient mice increased CREB protein levels in infected neurons only (Fig. 2A).

Further supporting the necessity of CREB for the formation of spatial memory, CREB-deficient mice with Control vector showed no evidence of spatial memory following strong training (six trials a day, for 3 d). Specifically, during the probe test CREB-deficient mice did not search selectively in the target zone of the pool and showed high levels of thigmotaxis (the tendency to search in the periphery of the pool) (Fig. 2B–E; Supplemental Fig. 3A,B; Wolfer et al. 1998). Microinjecting the CREB vector completely rescued the spatial memory deficit of CREB-deficient mice (CREB-deficient mice with CREB vector spent a similarly high percentage of time in the target zone of the pool and displayed similarly low levels of thigmotaxis as their WT littermates; Fig. 2B–E; Supplemental Fig. 3A,B). Therefore, acutely increasing CREB levels specifically in the dorsal hippocampus of CREB-deficient mice (with decreased CREB throughout the brain) is sufficient to rescue the spatial memory deficit normally observed in these mice.

The formation of long-term memory is known to require transcription (Davis and Squire 1984). The human genome contains as many as 3000 transcription factors (Babu et al. 2004). Many of these transcription factors have been implicated in mammalian memory formation (e.g., CREB, zif268, C/EBP, AP-1, SRF, BMAL-1, DREAM, MEF2, and Rel/nuclear factor κB) (Alberini et al. 2005).
2009). However, no transcription factor (or other molecule, to the best of our knowledge) has been shown to be both necessary for spatial memory formation and sufficient to produce spatial memory under conditions that do not normally support memory formation in mammals. In these studies, we found that locally and acutely increasing CREB in the dorsal hippocampus was sufficient to induce robust spatial memory in two different conditions in which spatial memory is not normally observed. Together with previous findings, these results show that CREB in the dorsal hippocampus is both necessary and sufficient for spatial memory formation, indicating that CREB-mediated transcription is a limiting step in the process of spatial memory formation.
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Figure 2. Acutely increasing CREB levels in the dorsal hippocampus is sufficient to rescue the spatial memory deficit of CREB-deficient mice. (A) CREB-deficient mice (Mutant Mouse) microinjected with Control vector (green) show low levels of endogenous CREB protein (red). However, microinjection of CREB vector increases the levels of CREB protein in CREB-deficient mice. (B) CREB-deficient mice (MUT) with Control vector (n = 12) show poor spatial memory compared with WT mice with Control (n = 14) or CREB (n = 10) vectors following strong watermaze training. CREB vector completely reverses the spatial memory deficit in CREB-deficient mice (n = 10; significant Group × Zone [F(3,42) = 10.14, P < 0.001], Group [F(3,42) = 17.68, P < 0.001], Zone [F(1,42) = 123.78, P < 0.001]). CREB-deficient mice with Control vector did not search selectively in the target zone and spent less time in the target zone than did WT mice with either Control or CREB vector (post-hoc analysis, P < 0.001). In contrast, CREB-deficient mice with CREB vector searched selectively in the target zone (F(3,42) = 15.68, P < 0.001) and spent an equal amount of time in the target zone as did WT mice with CREB vector. (C) Watermaze probe density plots for grouped data. (D) The high levels of thigmotaxis (swimming in the periphery of the pool) during the probe test observed in CREB-deficient mice were also rescued by the CREB vector (F(3,42) = 6.48, P < 0.001). (E) Representative swim paths for groups during the probe test showing the rescue of spatial memory deficits in the CREB-deficient mice by the CREB vector.
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